Document 7

Filed 12/13/2007

Page 1 of 5

Case 3:07-cv-03306-CRB

the following facts regarding service:

Plaintiff filed his complaint on June 22, 2007.

Plaintiff informed the Court in a filing on August 20, 2007 that he had not yet served the Postal Service.

When a plaintiff names the federal government as a defendant, plaintiff must first serve the United States as required by Rule 4. To serve the United States, plaintiff must serve several sets of the summons and complaints, including by personal delivery to the U.S. Attorney or designee in the Northern District of California, by registered mail to the Attorney General of the United States in Washington, D.C., and then by registered mail to the named defendants in this case. F.R.C.P. 4(i). Service must be as described by Rule 4 and actual notice is insufficient. Tuke v. United States, 76 F.3d 155, 156 (7th Cir. 1996). All of these rules are well-known to plaintiff and his attorneys from his prior litigation against the Postmaster General, Mohamed v. Potter, Action 05-2194 CRB.

Plaintiff's attorney Andrew Shalaby, who is not appearing for him in either district court action, raised the subject of this litigation with the United States Attorney's Office in November 2007. The United States Attorney's Office informed Mr. Shalaby and the plaintiff by letter dated November 27, 2007 that plaintiff had not served the summons and complaint in compliance with Rule 4. Plaintiff thereafter filed the undated, ambiguous "Certificate of Service" on December 11, 2007.

To summarize, Plaintiff has not served the complaint in compliance with governing law. Plaintiff has not served any summons. Plaintiff has not filed any return of service of the summons and complaint with the Court.

2. Description of case and administrative proceedings

Plaintiff's complaint states various claims of discrimination and retaliation alleged to have occurred from 1998-2003. The complaint is similar in nature to an earlier action filed by the plaintiff, Mohamed v. Potter, Action 05-2194 CRB. On December 11, 2007, defendant filed a motion to relate this action with that earlier action.

	Case 3:07-cv-03306-CRB	Document 7	Filed 12/13/2007	Page 3 of 5			
3.	Disputed legal issues						
a.	a. Whether plaintiff properly served the summons and complaint.						
b.	Whether plaintiff exhausted administrative remedies.						
c.	Whether plaintiff can state any claim for relief.						
d.	Whether plaintiff can carry his burden of proof on any claim.						
4.	4. Procedural history						
	June 22, 2007	complaint filed					
	August 20, 2007	plaintiff seeks ex	tension of initial CMC	C, confirms to Court			
		there has been no	service				
	September 19, 2007	Court grants extension, sets CMC for December 20 th					
	December 11, 2007	plaintiff files undated, ambiguous "Certificate of Service"					
	December 11, 2007	plaintiff files letter seeking extension of CMC to February					
		21, 2008; plaintii	ff's letter shows servic	e by facsimile on the			
		United States Att	torney's Office; plainti	ff transmitted the			
		letter by facsimil	e at 7:21 p.m. on Dece	ember 12, 2007			
5.	Discovery to date						
None.							
6.	Discovery plan						
None.							
7.	7. Motions, changes or parties, or other anticipated hearings						
T	The United States will bring motions to dismiss under FRCP 12(b)(4) and 12(b)(5) if plaintiff						
sists in his refusal to adhere to the rules governing service of the summons and complaint.							
ese requirements are well known to plaintiff from the prior action.							

Thes

8. Relief sought

See Plaintiff's prayer for relief including damages.

9. ADR certification

None at this time.

10. Consent to magistrate judge

STATEMENT OF POSITION OF US POSTMASTER GENERAL -3-C 07-3306 WDB

1	Not at this time.			
2	11. Proposed deadlines and dates			
3	None at this time.			
4	12. Service list for counsel			
5	None available at this time.			
6	13. Other items from Local Rule 16-10			
7	None at this time.			
8	14. Disqualification or recusal information			
9	None known.			
10				
11	Re SC	spectfully submitted, OTT N. SCHOOLS		
12	2 Un	ited States Attorney		
13	3			
14	Dated: December 13, 2007 $\frac{\sqrt{s}}{10}$	NATHAN U. LEE		
15	5 As	sistant United States Attorney		
16	5			
17	7			
18	3			
19				
20				
21	L			
22	2			
23	3			
24	1			
25	5			
26	5			
27	7			
28	3			
	STATEMENT OF DOCITION OF HE DOCTMA CEEDS OF	ENEDAL		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that she is an employee of the Office of the United States Attorney for the Northern District of California and is a person of such age and discretion to be competent to serve papers. The undersigned further certifies that she is causing a copy of the following:

STATEMENT OF POSITION OF JOHN POTTER, POSTMASTER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

Mahmoud Mohamed v. Potter C 07-3306 WDB

to be served this date upon the party in this action by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope, and served as follows:

FIRST CLASS MAIL by placing such envelope(s) with postage thereon fully prepaid in the designated area for outgoing U.S. mail in accordance with this office's practice.

CERTIFIED MAIL (#) by placing such envelope(s) with postage thereon fully prepaid in the designated area for outgoing U.S. mail in accordance with this office's practice.

PERSONAL SERVICE (BY MESSENGER)

√ FEDERAL EXPRESS via Priority Overnight

FACSIMILE (FAX) Telephone No.: See Below

to the party(ies) addressed as follows:

Mahmoud Mohamed 1620 Stuart Street Berkeley, CA 94703-2010

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on December 13, 2007 at San Francisco, California.

MANIK BOWIE Legal Assistant

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27